

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH
AT HYDERABAD

(Special Original Jurisdiction)

THURSDAY, THE TWENTY THIRD DAY OF JUNE
TWO THOUSAND AND FIVE

PRESENT

THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE R.SUBHASH REDDY

WRIT PETITION NO : 6957 OF 1999

Between:

A.P.S.R.t.C., rep by its Managing Director, Mushirabad, Hyderabad.

..... PETITIONER

AND

1 The State Transport Appellate Tribunal, A.P. Hyderabad rep. by its Presiding Officer.

2 The Regional Transport Authority, Ananthapur, rep. by its Secretary.

3 D.Narayana Swamy, S/o.Late Venkatswamy Bus Owner, Balaji Complex, Uravakonda, Anantapur District.

(W.P. dismissed for default as per court order dated 21.02.2003 as against R.3)

.....RESPONDENTS

Petition under Article 226 of the constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the Affidavit filed herein the High Court will be pleased to issue a Writ, Order or directions essentially in the nature of Writ of Certiorari, calling for the records relating to the impugned order dated 29.07.1998 made in R.P.No.98 of 1997 State Transport appellate Tribunal, Andhra Pradesh, Hyderabad, dismissing the revision petition filed by the APSRTC against the order in R.C.No.11594/A2/93, dated 13.05.1997, Regional Transport Authority, Anantapur, granting town service permit to third respondent on the route, Nerimetla to Uravakonda, and quash both the aforesaid orders as being illegal without jurisdiction and invalid.

Counsel for the Petitioner:MR.SATISH DESHPANDEY

Counsel for the Respondent Nos.1 and 2: MR.R.MANMADHA REDDY (SC FOR APSRTC)

The Court made the following O R D E R:

Aggrieved by the order of the Regional Transport Authority dated 13.05.1997 granting permit to the third respondent, which was confirmed by the State Transport Appellate Tribunal, Hyderabad, in R.P.No.98 of 1997, dated 29.07.1998, the Andhra Pradesh State Road Transport Appellate Tribunal, Hyderabad, filed this writ petition.

So far as the third respondent in whose favour the permit was granted, the writ petition was dismissed on 21.02.2003 and no steps has been taken. Inasmuch as the petitioner is questioning the very grant of permit to the third respondent against whom the writ petition is filed, no further relief can be granted to the petitioner.

In that view of the matter, the writ petition is dismissed. No costs.

23.06.2005

usd

To

- 1 The Presiding Officer, State Transport Appellate Tribunal, A.P. Hyderabad.
- 2 The Secretary, Regional Transport Authority, Ananthapur.

3 Two CCs to G.P. for Transport, High Court of A.P., Hyderabad (OUT)

4 2 CD copies

5 1 CC to MR.SATISH DESHPANDEY

Form-NIC-OGS/WP{VSMI}